**REMARKS** 

Claims 1-23 are pending. Applicants seek to amend claims 1, 8, 9, 15, and 23, and to

cancel claims 2 and 3.

Claims 1-23 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Graham

(U.S. Patent Application No. 20030055826).

On September 15, 2006, Examiners Ali and Harper granted the Applicants' attorney

the courtesy of a telephonic interview. During the interview, the Applicants' attorney noted

that the Graham reference fails to discuss database server architecture as recited in each of

the Applicants' claims, and that 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) requires that Graham recite each and

every claimed limitation in order to anticipate the claimed invention. In response, Examiner

Ali indicated that amending the independent claims to include the limitations of dependent

claims 2 and 3 would overcome the rejection and bring this case to allowance. The

Applicants have so amended, and respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of this

rejection. The Applicants note that this amendment was not made to overcome anticipation

by Graham, which Applicants believe is deficient under 35 U.S.C. § 102 for reasons already

of record.

Favorable action is solicited. The Applicants kindly invite the Examiners to contact

the undersigned attorney by telephone, facsimile, or email for quickest resolution, if there are

any remaining issues.

Respectfully submitted, JUN CHEN, ET AL.

Dated: October 3, 2006

By: /Neil F. Maloney, Reg. No. 42,833/

Neil F. Maloney, Reg. No.: 42,833

Fenwick & West LLP Silicon Valley Center 801 California Street

Mountain View, CA 94041

Tel.: (650) 335-7127

Fax.: (650) 938-5200

Case 9235 Response U.S. Serial No. 10/617,826

7